

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/602,248	06/23/2003	Tom Altus	Intel 2207/760602	1928
7590 03/15/2007 KENYON & KENYON Suite 600			EXAMINER	
			MAI, TAN V	
333 W. San Carlos Street San Jose, CA 95110-2711			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2193	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/15/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/602,248	ALTUS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
,	Tan V. Mai	2193
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 L This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the second seco	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters	· •
Disposition of Claims		
4)	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	cepted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Appl prity documents have been rec u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) Online Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Sumr	many /PTO_413)
Notice of Nafisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Ma	nary (P10-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/602,248 Page 2

Art Unit: 2193

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Rejection grounds continue to be those set forth in the previous office action (Paper dated 9/12/2006, paragraph 3).

It is noted that in the previous office action (Paper dated 9/12/2006, paragraph 3) the phrase "Claims 23-26 ..." should be read as – Claims 23-26--. Claim 26 dependents on claim 25.

2. Applicants' arguments filed on 12-12-06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants, in their remarks, argue that:

"[t]he Office Action alleges claims 23-25 are directed to non-statutory subject matter under 35 U.S.C. § 101 as a method for performing a mathematical function. See Office Action dated 9/12/2006, paragraph 3. Claims 23 to 25 are directed to a method for multiplying a value, X, by a fraction, P, producing a result, where P is a non-zero, non-unitary fraction value with an odd number denominator. The specification clearly discloses that the method is performed by a hardware implementation.

Applicant's specification discloses the implementation of the hardware structures to perform the method as claimed. See Specification, paragraphs [0031] to [0039] and the hardware implementation starting at paragraph [0040]. Therefore, the current rejection should be withdrawn" (emphasis added).

Application/Control Number: 10/602,248 Page 3

Art Unit: 2193

With respect to the arguments, the examiner carefully reviews claimed invention. It is noted that applicant hasn't pointed out how/why the claim produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result. If the claim [claims 23-26] as a whole is reasonably interpreted as just solving a mathematical algorithm rather than reciting a paractical application of the algorithm which produces a useful, concrete and tangible result, then it would be non-statutory. It would appear to be concrete and tangible in the context of the claim; however, the useful result appears lacking. Therefore, the rejection is still proper.

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,248 Page 4

Art Unit: 2193

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan V. Mai whose telephone number is (571) 272-3726. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Wed and Fri. from 9:30am to 2:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An, can be reached on (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is:

Official

(571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

Tan V. Mai Primary Examiner